



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

### Contents

Youtube: 'The Endgame – Full White Genocide' Documentary ..... 2

Remembering The Victims Of Communism ..... 2

More Migrants Than The Gold Rush: Time For Sleepers To Awake ..... 2

Corruption Whichever Way One Turns! ..... 3

Children In Detention *One Day...* Everybody Wandering The Streets The Next..... 3

Should The League Be "Universalist" Or Should It Defend Traditionalism? ..... 4

Is The League In Danger Of Straying From Its Core Values And Policies? ..... 5

The League In The Tradition Of New Right Thought By Brian Simpson ..... 6

What If They Plan To Starve Us Into Submission ? ..... 7

A Sign Of Things To Come?..... 7

The League Objectives ..... 8

The Basic Fund ..... 8

### THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

**In the name Of Mammon – Jack Straw and Sir Malcolm Rifkind:** In the last few weeks we have witnessed British Jewish leaders relentlessly complaining about 'the rise of anti-Semitism.' This morning we learned that two former foreign ministers are at the centre of a huge 'cash for access' scandal. I guess that Sir Malcolm Rifkind is not going to help in the current struggle to defy anti-Semitism. Former foreign secretaries Jack Straw and Sir Malcolm Rifkind today sought to defend themselves after becoming embroiled in a new 'cash for access' scandal where they both boasted about charging at least £5,000-a-day. The pair were secretly filmed discussing how they could use their contacts to benefit a private company for large sums of money. Sir Malcolm, who is chairman

of the highly-influential Intelligence and Security Select Committee, said that he could see any foreign ambassador in London and has 'useful access' to every British ambassador in the world because of his profile. This morning he claimed he acted 'entirely properly' and it was 'quite unrealistic' to expect backbench MPs with professional backgrounds to 'simply accept a salary of £60,000' without accepting second jobs, and would fight claims of wrongdoing 'with all my strength'. Mr Straw, one of Labour's most senior figures, claimed he was 'mortified' after footage emerged of him inviting two undercover reporters to a meeting in his Parliamentary office where he claimed to work 'under the radar' for private companies. -- Gilad Atzmon, February 23, 2015.

<http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/2015/2/23/in-the-name-of-mammon-jack-straw-and-sir-malcolm-rifkind>  
 Watch Youtube: "Politicians For Hire here... [www.youtube.com/watch?v=R5wYiPeLIIE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R5wYiPeLIIE)  
**One does wonder what percentage of the old British stock are still in the UK parliament!**

### Targets for the week

Did you write that letter of encouragement and support to Senator Ricky Muir? Please do it now!

When Ricky Muir was elected to the Australian Senate with a record low primary vote of 0.51 %, there were many cries of disapproval from the media; with some politicians suggesting that there should be a minimum primary vote percentage to continue to qualify for office. They failed to acknowledge

that this is how the system works! Senator Muir slipped in without THEIR APPROVAL! If Senator Muir is true to his word, and at the time of writing there is no reason to believe otherwise, then he is the best person to grace the 'representative seats' of Parliament in a long time.

**Bravo Senator Muir!**

*(See further comment on page 6)*

## REMEMBERING THE VICTIMS OF COMMUNISM

1st March 2015, Anti-Communists gather in London to remember the Cossacks and other victims of communism around the world. Watch: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1n3eKHzw--M&list=UUeaMpfumoCJCUojTHKMHO-w>



*Jez Turner, Lady Michele Renouf and Richard Edmonds in London.*

### What Communists Said...

Communism is not love. Communism is a hammer which we use to crush the enemy.

#### **Mao Zedong**

The theory of Communism may be summed up in one sentence: Abolish all private property.

#### **Karl Marx**

There is not Communism or Marxism, but representative democracy and social justice in a well-planned economy.

#### **Fidel Castro**

The goal of socialism is communism.

#### **Vladimir Lenin**

We first fought... in the name of religion, then Communism, and now in the name of drugs and terrorism. Our excuses for global domination always change.

#### **Serj Tankian**

## MORE MIGRANTS THAN THE GOLD RUSH: TIME FOR SLEEPERS TO AWAKE By James Reed

The article "Migrant Wave Not Seen Since Gold Rush", *The Australian*, January 30, 2015, p.5 needs deeper reflection by us about the significance of changing demographics. Today over 28% of the resident population is born outside of Australia - about 6.6 million people - "numbers not seen in 120 years or since the tail end of the gold rush". China and India have become major source countries, while there has been a clear decline of British people coming here. The article says: "While the fastest increases in migrant population between 2004 and last year were from Nepal, Brazil and Pakistan, China and India still led the way outside the traditional British-New Zealand leader bloc". Since 2005-2006 immigration has contributed over 50% of Australia's population growth.

**Those of you who just thought:** "Oh no, not another save the white race article" should reflect about the racial-demographic changes in Australia in the post World War II period. Arthur Calwell began the era of non-British immigration which led to multiculturalism. Vietnamese refugee intake, following the deceptive abandoning of the White Australia policy led on to Asianisation, fuelled by economic changes. UN-inspired treaties led to Acts such as the Racial Discrimination Act and then Race Hate legislation with more on the horizon. Proposals and then a referendum for a Republic occurred and were defeated. However many of these agendas of the New Class will be achieved if the Aboriginal recognition referendum succeeds, creating an open borders Australia with its Constitutional

proposal of "no discrimination". It would be nice to think that economic reforms such as social credit could hold all of this, but the political class will not tolerate it. We will be swamped by migrants before any such proposal gets up as a nationally-wide policy. Our people, our culture and traditions and nation we know are facing annihilation. Demographic changes alone will mean that a non-British culture will reject the Monarchy and embrace Republicanism and will accept changes to the Constitution because this document is not part of their tradition. I see no chance at all of social credit succeeding in a dominant Chinese Australia - set to be so within a couple of decades. Christianity as an institution will be likely to disappear as well. Excuse me while I silently scream.

**"ON TARGET"** is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

# CORRUPTION WHICHEVER WAY ONE TURNS!

---

It was observed 2000 years ago: "The love of money, that is, the preference for money in terms of personal advancement – **above all other considerations** – is the root of all kinds of evil". That truth is becoming more evident by the day. It has been interesting following the CSG (Coal Seam Gas) discussions and protests on Dr. David Pascoe's Facebook page. First it was the Queenslanders, now the NSWelshmen are getting up steam on the issue, and, unexpectedly rising to front page news in Victoria.

**The Australian 2 March 2015 headlines read:** "Nats in bed with Greens over fracking ban: Peter Reith," and continue "Liberal elder Peter Reith has thrown a grenade into the Coalition camp ahead of a by-election in Victoria, saying the Nationals are "in bed with the Greens" in opposing fracking and are playing populist politics at the expense of jobs and

investment..."

The Nationals fired back, saying Mr Reith - a former federal minister and a big backer of gas exploration who did a report on the potential of it for the previous government – is a typical city-centric Liberal and have revealed plans for a farmers' veto that would likely end prospecting for unconventional gas in Victoria.

**Playing "populist politics" Mr. Reith? Maybe you have used the term once too often.**

According to [www.thefreedictionary.com/populism](http://www.thefreedictionary.com/populism) - 'Populism' is defined as: "A political philosophy supporting the rights and power of the people in their struggle against the privileged elite. b. The movement organized around this ..."

Nationals leader Peter Walsh defended the fracking veto plan and seized on Mr Reith's comments, saying: "Nobody in regional Victoria would be surprised to hear this

city-focused opinion from an old-school Liberal. Unlike Peter Reith, the Nationals are not putting dollar signs ahead of the concerns of local communities.

Landholders deserve the right to say no to - onshore gas - extraction. It's their land, it's their livelihood and it's their families."

**From the League point of view:** Various groups, who agree on policy, have got themselves better organised and used what we would describe as their "Social Dynamics". That is, by rallying their people and acting together (the mass), they have applied their will (force) against their sitting members in the recent Queensland state elections - to obtain the policy they want. Looks like the Nationals in Victoria 'have got the message'!

**As you say Peter Walsh: It's their land, it's their livelihood and it's their families.**

---

## CHILDREN IN DETENTION ONE DAY... Everybody Wandering the Streets the Next

### By James Reed

---

It is good to see the Human Rights Commission report on the forgotten children in immigration detention being slammed in *The Australian* and even by Tony Abbott. The report offered a hysterical image of children in detention, with no common sense observation that they were there in the first place because they and their parents broke Australian law by coming illegally to this country, merely to seek economic betterment. Some may be judged to be "genuine" refugees according to law, but those that are not - why should they simply be released from detention? This amounts to open borders and no border protection at all, which seems to be the agenda of a range of groups from radical socialists, to human righters and lawyers. The report says, without proof of causality,

that detention has ill-health effects. But shouldn't the parents have thought about that, even if it was true, before getting on a boat? Isn't that the price one pays?

*The Australian* newspaper obtained internal documents indicating that the report from its inception was far from impartial: "A draft work plan from 2013 shows the commission made children the inquiry's main focus for political purposes, believing it should give them "the best opportunity" to "effect policy and legal changes"''. (*The Australian*, February 19, 2015, p.14)

Tony Abbott is right in regarding the report as a "stitch up" and a "blatantly partisan politicised exercise" and that the Human Rights Commission "ought to be ashamed of itself". Clearly this is an abuse of office. As the Human Rights Commission has an

agenda to permit "open borders," it should be abolished immediately. But don't expect Tony "Mr Politically Correct" Abbott to do anything sensible like that.

Remember: the Liberal Party has shown itself not to defend classical liberal values. On, I think March 5, I celebrate 10 years writing at this site; some of my brother writers a little less. In this time I have come to the conclusion that our society and its institutions, once noble and workable, have become in the post-World War II period, rotten to the core. The smell of political decay could perhaps be the same aroma of a social death that concerned citizens before the fall of ancient Rome may have smelt. The cycle of birth, life and death of civilisations, continues. We're next.

---

## What is Communitarianism?

Communitarianism, wrote Joseph Farah (9-3-01), "places the importance of society ahead of the unfettered rights of the individual.... Here's what you will find under 'communitarian' if you use *Webster's New World*.... 'a member or advocate of a communistic or communalistic community.'... Both center on the idea that the **individual needs to be de-emphasized** in favor of the 'community' or the 'state.'" "I still believe in old-fashioned freedom," Farah concluded, "...in the inalienable rights of the individual and the limited powers of

the state. These are concepts at odds with communitarianism."

General Ben Partin shared that concern. In a recent conversation (11-2-05), he recalled that, "The 1928 Program of the **Third International** calls for disarming the citizenry as a final step in the 'preparatory phase' of a Communist 'War of National Liberation.'" Not surprisingly, the 1991 "Communitarian Platform" calls for "domestic disarmament."... What is the difference between "disarming the citizenry" and "domestic disarmament?"

**Amitai Etzioni**, founder of the Communitarian Network, is a member of Mikhail Gorbachev's World Political Forum. The Forum website introduces Etzioni as "Professor of Sociology at Columbia University for 20 years." In 1980, he "was named the first University Professor at The George Washington University, where he was the Director of the **Institute for Communitarian Policy Studies**.... He was the editor of *The Responsive Community: Rights and Responsibilities*, the organization's quarterly journal" until 2004. ND.

# SHOULD THE LEAGUE BE “UNIVERSALIST” OR SHOULD IT DEFEND TRADITIONALISM?

By Peter West

I, and others have agreed in various articles, some of which have been printed here, that the League should resist the temptation, ever present in Christianity, to go “universalist” and cosmopolitan. That is, to be concerned with all of humanity rather than defending the local, our race, our nation, our own kind. Universalism seems ‘oh so noble and moral’ until one reflects on the cold hard facts of reality that the human race is not a “moral community” and that Nordics (Northern Europeans) are directly under attack by various groups that wear universalist clothing, but underneath have particularistic ethno-centric interests at heart. It is not the job of the League to “save souls” something the Churches should be doing instead of rejoicing in obtaining increasingly more migrants and refugees. This cult of the ‘other’ is already having some spectacular effects on western culture with churches being made into homes, businesses or nightclubs and mosques being built faster than Christian churches are going down. Those not concerned about the survival of our race may live to find that the Christianity which they grew up with has gone. “No,” you say, “God wouldn’t allow it” – but consider that this would violate that most sacred of mentalities, free will. Consider also what is now happening as documented in Philip Jenkins’ “The Next Christendom: The Coming of Global Christianity,” (Oxford University Press, 2007). The front cover of the book quotes from the US National Review “a wake-up call for northern Christians.” Christianity as a social institution is dying in the West. The more universalist and liberal churches are declining the fastest in terms of membership. They have not been saved from embracing multiculturalism; usually migrants go to their own ethnic churches run by ministers of their own race, with services conducted in their own language. Today the largest Christian communities are to be found in Africa, Latin America and Asia. As Jenkins says: “In 1900 Europe was home to two-thirds of the world’s Christian population; today the figure is less than a quarter and by 2025 it will fall below 20 percent. (p.2) Jenkins goes on to say: “The vast majority of believers will be neither white nor European, nor Euro-American.” (p.2) If white Christians can’t see where this is leading... well, God help them because they certainly are not going to help the situation. We can imagine that African Christianity will ultimately incorporate traditional tribal beliefs (e.g., magic), as it is those peoples’ multicultural right to do so, and as good “universalists” who is to say that Western conceptions of Christianity are right anyway? The League’s objectives essentially amount to an Oath to defend Western civilisation, British

culture, traditions and people and Christianity as traditionally understood. Social credit is to be used for that defence and it is a means to an end, not an end in itself. It is not the League’s role to establish social credit for a Chinese atheist materialist or Islamic Australia. Thoughts of Heaven for those of us getting on in years should not weaken our commitment to the principal goals of the League. Otherwise, it has really been for nothing.

If Major Douglas was alive and writing today in these “end times,” these most desperate of days, I like to think that he would be preoccupied with the same topics that concern us, those of us concerned with saving our race and people.

## **To Which Wallace Klink Responded:**

Thanks for this article by Peter West and for the challenge of responding to it—a task which probably deserves much time and serious reflection.

I am inclined to regard humans as humans almost wherever one meets them as individuals and I believe that the Holy Spirit can occupy almost anyone anywhere. I have worked with and socialised with many people of many backgrounds and I think the best way to fulfil our purpose in life is to live in accord with Christian principles and to let this infect others around us by example.

I see no future for mankind where everyone retreats into their own backyard with disregard or even disdain for others. That is, I see spreading light as the only liberating hope for humanity rather than retreating defensively into shrinking places of supposed safety. If we really believe in the Christian message we should have an abiding faith in its redeeming powers over all peoples. We want to overcome by example rather than by seeking a shrinking place of refuge. Whether we like it or not God made us all and there are multitudes with whom it would be much more rewarding and fruitful to be friends with common Christian values. And there are Christians of one brand or another living in many, many countries.

The problem is that formal religious organizations, like political parties, are loci of potential power which those who seek power all too often capture and manipulate for accomplishment of their own self-aggrandizing agendas. This seems to be a weakness of “organizations” as such and probably explains my instinctive aversion to blind acceptance of stated or imposed organizational policies.

Life is dynamic rather than static. If mankind were to have accepted as immutably sanctified so-called “traditionalism” we would still be living in primitive circumstances. One can only advance and experience the potential richness of life by venturing into uncharted waters where possible risks and rewards may await us. I believe that the

“traditional” values which can guide us in our journey are the love and principles of association which Christ taught. I think that in the pantheon of belief systems Christ’s teachings and examples, practically lived and applied, cannot be excelled. They seem to be grounded in the most fundamental reality and uniquely capable of generating good will among men.

What dismays me, and perhaps this may be because I have become too distanced from formal churches, is that I do not observe any significant activity amongst the clergy at large to promote Christian principles in our organic and practical affairs. I think that we should be living Christian lives in the practical realm by example and implementation and stop agonizing over the fate of our souls. Surely God knows what He is doing. We should be saving people and let God save souls.

The world is shrinking, even as we now communicate, with people from all quarters of the world conversing and associating with each other and seeking to understand each other and the social and physical universe around us. One can sense this as we reach out to one another even across continental and cultural divides. Surely, genuine truths must be applicable to all peoples. Our task is to discover and apply them.

Do I visualize hazards in mass movements of people flooding other cultures?

Of course, because under the existing faulty system of symbolic representation of reality, the pressures of insecurity are intensified for all peoples and tend to make everyone the enemy of everyone else, both within and amongst cultures.

In societies functioning in accord with Social Credit principles, mass political and economic crises would not be occurring and people would not be forced under duress to seek other regions of residence. That is why Social Credit is so critical to the establishment of peace on Earth. It would provide a healthy and realistic foundation for human relations around the world. By decentralizing power to the individual this would disempower demagogues and power-seekers who seek to manipulate masses of people for their own egotistic and/or material enhancement. Healthy people reach out to experience the fullness of life and are not always coalescing in retrenchment to protect themselves from external “enemies”. I think that Douglas was indefatigable in his denunciation of the Will-to-Power. Realistically, if we cannot all learn to be good friends there really can be no hope for human civilization.

I don’t know if you consider this to be a “balanced” reply but this is my spontaneous response. I had not intended to make it this evening but once started I just continued. The subject is enormous and of course much more could be said.

# IS THE LEAGUE IN DANGER OF STRAYING FROM ITS CORE VALUES AND POLICIES?

**Peter West continues the discussion:** No, the League has not strayed from its core values and policies - yet. At present the League effectively and faithfully adheres to its objectives, all 11 of them listed at <http://alor.org/Library/League%20Objectives.htm>. But in my paper "Should the League be 'Universalist' or Should it Defend Traditionalism" I raised a possible threat posed by Christian universalism to go "cosmopolitan". This issue has been discussed at many nationalist sites such as the *Occidental Observer*, but it has received the most attention in Europe with the European New Right and French critics of localism and cosmopolitanism such as Alain de Benoist and Guillaume Faye. There is, these critics suppose, a conflict between Christianity and "race realism", in so far as Franklin Ryckaert summarises at <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2012./10/the-fairness-of-whites-...>, Christianity (and I cite only his points with *prima facie* arguable merit):

- "doesn't think in terms of groups, let alone biological groups, it thinks in terms of individuals whose souls have to be saved",
  - "human races may be an outwardly different, but inwardly they have the same cells equally capable of salvation",
- "the world may seem to be a battlefield, but the task of men is not to win the battle on the battlefield but to bring 'peace on earth' ". Ryckaert makes some other characterisations of Christianity which I regard as not reasonable (i.e. morality is what is good for the other).

**He contrasts Christianity as he sees it with a race realism that:**

- sees the human races as different and unequal;
- sees the world as a battleground between competing biological groups, and
- thinks in terms of the survival and flourishing of one's own biological group.

I think that the League in its writings on the race question from Eric Butler on has resolved this allegedly contradiction. Races may be unequal even though individuals are of equal worth, all other things being equal, to God. Yes, peace on Earth is desired, but perhaps the best way of doing this is not by trying to eliminate race, or replace the white race, but allowing the races to exist and flourish, in their own space and in their own terms. After all, the races are God's creation and He must have seen them as being important and worth conserving.

Thus it is possible to reconcile Christianity with race realism. But the Christian cosmopolitanism of both the contemporary Protestant and Catholic Churches is incompatible with the survival of northern Europeans. Their acceptance of mass immigration, for one thing, dooms our kind. Worse, northern European people are under attack from various groups who wear the shape or outer garb of universalism/high human rights, and underneath is the wolf of ethnocentric interests, undisclosed. In this context also pointed out, quoting Philip Jenkins, "The Next Christendom," (2007), that Christianity is set to become globally a non-white religion, and may by 2100 or 2200 be unrecognisable by us, especially when traditional magic beliefs are integrated into it.

**Wallace Klinck:** Mr Wallace Klinck has given a thoughtful critique of my paper. First point is that "humans are humans wherever one meets them as individuals" and the Holy Spirit can come to them all. There is no future for people retreating to their own enclaves. As Christians "we should have an abiding faith in its redeeming powers over all peoples". Well, yes, but there is also the role of Satan too, and free will. As regard to the matter of "traditionalism" Mr Klink says that if humanity had accepted that we would "still be living in primitive circumstances". In reply, "traditionalism" is defined in terms of moral values such as

traditional marriage ("Christian marriage") rather than say homosexual marriage. The debate out there is about liberal-left progressive values versus these "conservative" values. The League objectives, in part, amount to a defence of traditional Australia/British values - but more shortly.

Mr Klinck says that "one can only advance and experience the potential richness of life by venturing into uncharted waters where possible risks and rewards may await us".

Even with respect to technological progress this position could threaten us with extinction, especially from developments in nanotechnology and artificial intelligence: see James Barratt, "Our Final Invention: Artificial Intelligence and the End of the Human Era," (2013). To this it may be said that God will save us from destroying ourselves. This, I think, has absolutely no support from the Bible; yes, the souls of repenting individuals may be saved and bodies may perish but in the Old Testament whole civilisations judged to be evil were destroyed e.g., Sodom and Gomorrah (Genesis 19:24), and of course the entire human race in ancient times except Noah and family. (Genesis 6-8) God may no longer destroy such civilisations of evil Himself but He said nothing about preventing them from destroying themselves.

Remember: free will.

Mr Klinck accepts that there will, or can be, mass movements of people flooding other cultures. Rightly he argues that this is due to poverty and lack of development produced by a faulty economic/financial system which social credit would correct. I agree, totally. But we don't yet have such social credit economies and while I don't want to be a total cold bucket of water, time is fast running out. The crisis problems are engulfing us faster than any progress towards social credit. Imperfect action, in an imperfect world is necessary, now.

## The League Objectives

The first League objective is "to promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, to the Crown, and to the Country".

Objective (j) is "To expose and oppose all anti-British propaganda and actions, irrespective of the origin". Read together, with the other objectives I believe that these objectives support my interpretation of the League's role. It is in short, to fight for our civilisation, not merely to expect the Holy Spirit to act, indeed maybe the Holy Spirit chooses to act through us? At present we are set to disappear as a people, our constitution is under attack and even Britain is on track to be a majority non-white before 2050. If that is not a crisis, then what is?

If it is the case that saving the British race is no longer our objective; that we should be preaching to all humanity without dealing with the war on our doorstep, then I think that we have indeed lost our way and the consequence of this will be the end of Australia as we have known it.

Tell me that this isn't so!

## Here is a Possible Future: South Africa

This debate perhaps could be put in the context of a real example: South Africa.

The League has covered from time-to-time the killings of white farmers by blacks. The farm killings typically involve the rape, prolonged torture and murder of entire families. About 20 murders a day of whites by blacks occur; there are even more blacks killed by blacks, but whites are a minority making up only 9% of the population. Many Boers are anticipating the genocide. Since 1994 about 70,000 whites have been murdered, but it is probably more because the police have stopped breaking down victims statistics on racial grounds: Francis Carr, "A Blind Eye to Murder of Whites in South Africa", May 22, 2014, at <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2014/05/up-blind-eye-to->

(Continued on page 6)

murder-.... Whites see their only hope to be fleeing to a white Ethnostate: Kevin Macdonald, "South African White Ethnostate", February 19, 2015, at <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2015/02/a-south-african-ethnostate/>.

**An article "The End of South Africa", February 7, 2015 at <http://www.nationalreview.com/article/366317/...>** Sees the likelihood of South Africa continuing its decline and becoming a failed state, if it isn't that already. When apartheid ended the life expectancy in South Africa was 64 years, but now it is 56, the same as Somalia. Would economics hold things? No doubt to some degree. But I think it is naïve to expect such a society to work given any realistic conception of human nature.

The South African situation is a test case for universalism and cosmopolitanism, Christian or otherwise, for it was those values which created the present "society". In 2002, 60% of South Africans said that life was better under Apartheid, and as things have got much worse since then, no doubt that percentage would now be higher. One of the League references a few months back involved a white farmer (man), who was beaten, tortured and then anally raped while being forced to watch the rape of his wife and child. They were then murdered in front of him and then the attackers cut his Achilles tendons so that he could remain alive, presumably slowly bleed out, and not, in any case follow them. This story of metaphysical horror came to my mind when thinking about the issues in the debate and is I think a more powerful refutation than any theological arguments. What if it was you?

---

## THE LEAGUE IN THE TRADITION OF NEW RIGHT THOUGHT by Brian Simpson

---

Adding my five cents worth to the future directions of the League debate I believe that we should be "forward to the past" as far as philosophical values go.

Frederick Nietzsche said: "for institutions to exist there must exist the kind of will, instinct, imperative which is anti-liberal to the point of malice: the will to tradition, to authority, to centuries-long responsibility, to solidarity between succeeding generations backwards and forwards in infinitum... The entire West has lost those instincts out of which institutions grow, out of which the future grows: perhaps nothing goes so much against the grain of the 'modern spirit' as this". By Traditionalism, New Right thinkers refer to this and more.

In his book "New Culture, New Right: Anti-Liberalism in Postmodern Europe," (1st Books, Bloomington, 2004), Michael O'Meara says that the Traditionalist Right is a counter-attack upon an Enlightenment ideology inspiring the Left and liberals, who together embrace "those rationalist ideological tendencies favouring the forward political impulses of 'modernity'... It believes in "the infinite progress of knowledge and infinite advance toward social and moral betterment"... The Left champions the progress, possibility, and emancipation modernity promises". It believes in the "myth of progress" and has therefore no

place for the traditional Christian concept of original sin, which holds at a minimum that man cannot save himself by economics and technology alone: Christ is needed in the equation.

By contrast "the Right is formed in defence of ancestral legacies and transcendent spiritual order. Unlike the Left, it does not see the traditional heritage as "a dismal catalogue of absurdities and crimes against humanity", but as the backdrop to the way the present is to be apprehended and the future worked out. Likewise, it believes this heritage offers a glimpse of the transcendent - of that which stands outside of time - and of the larger possibilities latent in the human condition".

A Traditional Right position thus, in seeing human beings as flawed creatures (by original sin if a Christian, or by the limits of evolution if non-theistic), is sceptical about "big idea" projects that seek to restrain individual freedoms such as socialism and big government. Complex structures are likely to lead to more harm than good and the bigger the purpose of structure - such as world government - the bigger the harm.

This philosophy seems to characterise what the League has done in the Eric Butler era and after. To bend towards universalism will be to go over to the Left

to some degree. Surely we have enough enemies doing that already! The Soviet dissident Alexander Zinoviev has said that the liberal societies while offering the façade of championing individualism, equality and universalism, through doctrines such as feminism, human rights and multiculturalism, are actually virtual totalitarian regimes which control their societies in more subtle ways than the previous Soviet version. One single ideology or way of life is promoted (e.g. globalism, anti-racism), and all opposing that had defined to be evil. There is thus no real tolerance of pluralism, just as in communism.

If the League of the past saw it fit to challenge communism, then it is fitting for the League of today to fight its liberal mutant variants of globalism and cultural Marxism. Only if the hearts and ideas of men can be changed can programs such as social credit have a chance. Supposing that if we just change the economic system all of our cultural-political problems will be solved is just a crude form of economic determinism, and the collapse of economic forms of Marxism (but alas, not the cultural form), shows that culture and ideas cannot be mechanically reduced to the material. Now is not the time to weaken; it is universal of them in all its Satanic forms which threatens.

---

### Comment from The National Director

The foregoing articles: Should The League Be "Universalist" Or Should It Defend Traditionalism? By Peter West—Page 4, Is The League In Danger Of Straying From Its Core Values And Policies? - Page 5 and The League In The Tradition Of New Right Thought By Brian Simpson - this page - **are worthy of earnest consideration. To help in this direction the 'Objectives of the League' are printed on page 8 of this issue.** Social Crediters will understand that the 'Objectives' are what unite us in 'common purpose'... it is the 'glue that binds us' together. I have had well-meaning folk tell me the objectives need updating — bringing into the 21st century, but what does this entail? The League has 'rules of association' which cannot be changed at the whim of its leaders but in the proper order of organisation and this is learned through participation in 'Social Dynamics'. You will find more here...<http://www.alor.org/New%20Times/video.html>. The web-link has four lectures by Eric Butler and Jeremy Lee on **aspects of 'Social Dynamics'** which are free to download. These videos can also be sourced from Heritage Book Services on DVD or the text here... <http://www.alor.org/Library/Butler%20ED%20-%20Social%20Dynamics.htm>. The League website has lots of free information found here... [www.alor.org](http://www.alor.org) ... try it; **KNOWLEDGE IS POWER!**



# WHAT IF THEY PLAN TO STARVE US INTO SUBMISSION ?

'Twiggy' Forrest – and I hope fellow Australians – should be interested in the following...

## “Global Economy Continues to Wither as West Coast Ports Remain Shut Down”

**NaturalNews:** Has the world's economy officially tanked? One radio talk show host thinks so, and he has some data to back it up. In a blog post on his website, Dave Hodges -- host of The Common Sense Show -- notes that economic indices are bottoming out and that's in large part due to a proposed agreement called the Trans-Pacific Partnership, which the Electronic Freedom Foundation describes as "a secretive, multinational trade agreement that threatens to extend restrictive intellectual property (IP) laws across the globe and rewrite international rules on its enforcement."

The forces behind the agreement, notes Hodges, have used their influence to stall delivery of critically important commodities until it is fully implemented among member nations. As such, he says, those forces are going to be responsible "for the crash caused by the failure to move product through the world's ports." Hodges, in his post, writes that cargo and container ships are piling up along the U.S. West Coast, like those in the small port of Tacoma, Washington.

**"We don't have six to eight months"**

**Hodges elaborates further...**

The backlog of ships is a crisis being done on purpose because the private multinational corporations want to empty the shelves in supermarkets nationwide

for three or four weeks [and] is designed to force the American people to approve the blackmail contained in the Trans Pacific Partnership. This is the economic end-game which will permit these multinational corporations to become nation-states across the planet. Hodges says that most people will simply fail to notice the threat until they see they can no longer buy food at the grocery store, or when vital mail-order items like prescription drugs are no longer being shipped.

**Most will remain in denial, he says, until the truth can no longer be hidden -- or denied**

"The unions have now been idled, at major American shipping ports, because the multinational corporate owners of the shipping companies are keeping their ships filled with perishable goods at sea until all governmental opposition to the fast-tracked TPP is ended," Hodges wrote.

In early February, Reuters and CNBC reported that a U.S. West Coast port strike was pushing up the cost of freight shipping rates as idled ships stacked up, waiting to be offloaded and re-loaded.

"Dozens of container ships are lying in wait off the large U.S. West Coast ports of Los Angeles, San Francisco and San Diego. Many of them have been waiting more than a week to enter port to unload or

take on new cargoes, according to Thomson Reuters shipping data," reported Reuters, noting that East Coast ports remain open.

**CNBC reported that the lingering strike was beginning to affect retailers**

A prolonged shutdown of course would be worse--and it would hit more than just the retail community. The National Retail Federation and National Association of Manufacturers estimate a 10-day shutdown could levy a \$2.1 billion per day hit to the overall economy as about half of the nation's international imports come into the country via the West Coast ports.

**Running out of goods - and time**

In a video, Los Angeles City Councilman Joe Buscaino says that once a contract is reached it will take six to eight weeks to offload the more than 30 cargo ships within a mile-and-a-half of the LA Port, but it will take "months" for operations "to get back to normal."

Hodges notes that the U.S. economy does not have "six to eight months" to recover; indeed, he says, "it does not have six to eight days. As America races toward her date with destiny, there is yet another 'fundamentally transforming' event coming her way and that event is known as the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP)," he wrote.

Learn more: [http://www.naturalnews.com/048663\\_economic\\_collapse\\_US\\_ports\\_Trans-Pacific\\_Partnership.html#ixzz3TIgEyFNk](http://www.naturalnews.com/048663_economic_collapse_US_ports_Trans-Pacific_Partnership.html#ixzz3TIgEyFNk)

## A SIGN OF THINGS TO COME?

It was announced - "Agriculture set to fill mining gap: Andrew Forrest"

"Agriculture's prerogative to replace mining as Australia's future boom export industry will be up for discussion this week in Canberra. High profile mining billionaire Andrew 'Twiggy' Forrest will speak on industry investment potential at the Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics (ABARES) Outlook conference, held on March 3-4. Mr Forrest is expected to make a big announcement on plans for "waterproofing" Australia at the annual event before hundreds of industry heavyweights.

While the announcement details are being kept under wraps, it's understood Mr Forrest will also use the forum to highlight the strategic value of his Australia-Sino

Hundred Year Agricultural and Food Safety Partnership (ASA-100). The ASA-100 is a food branding concept developed by Mr Forrest to strengthen farm export opportunities, which are increasingly driven by growing consumer demand in China.

The strategic partnership was formally signed at Parliament House in Canberra last November to coincide with the historic China-Australia Free Trade Agreement (ChAFTA). That signing was also witnessed by Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott and Chinese President Xi Jinping and ASA-100 members, including the National Farmers' Federation. The ASA-100 comprises 50 agricultural industry

representatives and government officials from Australia and China. Mr Forrest established the group after visiting China and meeting with Chinese Premier Li Keqiang who explained the critical importance of food security to his people... Mr Forrest will appear at ABARES on the opening day on Tuesday in a special session dedicated to agribusiness investment. Source: <http://www.theland.com.au/.../ag-could-fill-min.../2724586.aspx...>

We have yet to find out what Twiggy Forrest had to say at that gathering but we do think it important this Grand Plan be studied from an entirely different angle.

**Why Civilisations Self-Destruct:** Richard Miller's Crossroads article "Welcoming Four Billion Africans: Why Civilisations Self-Destruct," - <http://thecross-roads.org/logic/52-welcoming-four-billion-africans-why-civilisations-self-destruct-by-richard-miller>

## Extract from The Constitution of The Australian League of Rights

**PREAMBLE:** The general aim of those who join and support The League shall be to do their utmost to bring about a state of society under which political and economic security and personal freedom of the individual shall be assured and safeguarded.

### (3) OBJECTIVES:

The following shall be the objectives of The League:

1. To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy and to promote maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of nations.
2. To advocate genuine competitive individual enterprise and to uphold and foster individual initiative and responsibility.
3. To defend private ownership and advocate its extension in order that individual freedom with security shall be available to all.
4. To oppose government-by-regulation and bureaucratic interference with economic, social and cultural activities.
5. To attack and oppose all forms of totalitarianism.
6. To take steps designed to secure to the individual very definite rights which no Government can take away, and especially by defence of the written Constitution.
7. To defend the rule of law which makes all equal before the law, and ensure that the individual is at all times allowed due access to the process of law.
8. To stress the value of our system of Common Law, built up in Great Britain, to protect the rights of the individual; and to that end to expose partiality and corruption in all their forms.
9. To emphasize the value of Legislative Councils and the Senate and take such action as to ensure that they fulfil their true function.
10. To expose the manner in which safeguards of individual rights and liberties are being destroyed.
11. To expose and oppose all propaganda and actions, irrespective of their origin, which seek to destroy the British tradition and heritage.
12. To support any lawful proposals designed to make all elected representatives more accountable to their electors,
13. To take such other actions, based on Christian principles, as may be deemed desirable to promote the policy of this League, and to at all times rely on the blessing of Almighty God.

## BASIC FUND

The Heritage Book Shop move in Melbourne has left little time to promote the 'Basic Fund' but now the office is being setup and all that remains is the phone and wireless network for an Internet connection.

The 'Basic Fund' is the very necessary 'financial sinews' which allow distribution of the Social Credit message and the development of other projects like the 'Dragon Slayers' Data DVD; a valuable resource for actionists containing digital copies of many essays by C.H. Douglas, Eric Butler and others. All of the 'On Target's' and 'New Times' and many issues of 'The Social Crediter'. All of this is an ongoing project of essential information to counter the evil plans of the 'one world government' promoters.

The 'Basic Fund' has now reached \$9,844.15 so there is a long way to go to the target of \$60,000. A special thankyou to the generous supporters who have made this beginning possible.

**LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)**

#### THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' — Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

#### 'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

#### 'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to '*Australian League of Rights*' or '*ALOR*'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

**Suite 8, Level 9, 118 Queen Street**

Melbourne, 3000

(Postal Address: G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

#### South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

**VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>**

**<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>**

**Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,  
Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.**